

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,850	06/14/2006	Bertram Cezanne	MERCK-3185	6766	
2559 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTION. VA 22201			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			JARRELL,	JARRELL, NOBLE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624		
			WIT DATE	DEL MEDITA CODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/582.850 CEZANNE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NOBLE JARRELL 1624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27,29 and 39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-26,28 and 30-38 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/08

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/582,850 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 1st and 2nd paragraph have been overcome by the amendment filed 6/25/08

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 7/1/2008 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The reference that is struck out has not been considered because the relevance of the reference to the instant application cannot be determined.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-29 and 38-39 are objected to because of the following informalities: they contain on-elected subject matter. Variable Q can only be a bond within the elected group and T can only be a piperidine ring that is optionally substituted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 27, 29, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for *in vitro* inhibition of factors Xa and VIIa and the treatment of thromboses, does not reasonably provide enablement for all disorders related to inhibition of factors VIIa and Xa. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 1624

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants are enabled for the *in vitro* binding of prepared compounds to factor VIIa and Xa receptors and the relationship of inhibition of these two receptors to treatment of thrombosis. Applicants are not enabled for the *in vitro* use of prepared compounds as well as all of the disorders listed in claim 27.

The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 888 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir., 1988). The court in Wands states, "Enablement is not precluded by the necessity for some experimentation, such as routine screening. However, experimentation needed to practice the invention must not be undue experimentation. The key word is 'undue', not 'experimentation' (Wands, 8 USPQ2sd 1404). Clearly, enablement of a claimed invention cannot be predicated on the basis of quantity of experimentation required to make or use the invention. "Whether undue experimentation is needed is not a single, simple factual determination, but rather is a conclusion reached by weighing many factual considerations" (Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1404). Among these factors are: (1) the nature of the invention; (2) the breadth of the claims; (3) the state of the prior art; (4) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; (5) the relative skill of those in the art; (6) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (7) the presence or absence of working examples; and (8) the quantity of experimentation necessary.

Consideration of the relevant factors sufficient to establish a *prima facie* case for lack of enablement is set forth herein below:

- (1) The nature of the invention and (2) the breadth of the claims: The claims are drawn to inhibition of factor VIIa and Xa with a compound of formula I and compositions containing the same.
- (3) The state of the prior art and (4) the predictability or unpredictability of the art: Turpie (Expert Opinion in Pharmacotherapy, 2004, 5(6), 1373-84) teaches that inhibition of factor Xa is related to antithrombotic therapy. Kher (Expert Opinion in Investigational Drugs, 2001, 10(12), 2175-83) teaches that inhibition of factor VIIa is related to antithrombotic treatment as well.
- (5) The relative skill of those in the art:

III. 102-1

One of ordinary skill in the art can determine the affinity of prepared compounds in the instant application to factor VIIa and Xa receptors (table on page 44).

(6) The amount of direction or guidance presented and (7) the presence or absence of working examples:

The specification has provided guidance for guidance for *in vitro* binding of prepared compounds to factor VIIa and Xa receptors. In addition, applicants are enabled for the cause and effect of inhibition of factor VIIa or Xa with antithrombotic therapy.

However, the specification does not provide guidance that these compounds can work *in vitro* even though *in vitro* testing data is provided.

(8) The quantity of experimentation necessary:

The pharmaceutical art has been known for its unpredictability due to various conflicting pathways, or biological factors that are sometimes genetically unique to individuals. In the instant case, it is shown that compounds 1, 2, 5, and 49 bind to factor VIIa and Xa receptors in vitro. See Hoffman v. Klaus 9 USPQ 2d 1657, and Ex Parte Powers 220 USPQ 925 regarding types of testing needed to support in vivo uses.

Considering the state of the art as discussed by the references above, particularly with regards to claims 24 and 27-29 and the high unpredictability in the art as evidenced therein, and the lack of guidance provided in the specification, one of ordinary skill in the art would be burdened with undue experimentation to practice the invention commensurate in the scope of the claims.

This rejection is maintained because applicants are enabled for treatments of

Application/Control Number: 10/582,850 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

 Claims 30-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Chen et al. (US 7,314,883, issued January 1, 2008, claims priority to Dec 5, 2002) teach the closest prior art. Example 152 (columns 205-206) fails to anticipate or render obvious a compound of claims 30-37 because variable R¹ cannot be a CH2-C(O)-piperidinyl- 3,4-dihydroquinazolin-2(1H)-onyl group.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NOBLE JARRELL whose telephone number is (571)272-9077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 A.M - 6:00 P.M. EST.

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Noble Jarrell/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624 /James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624